



Center for
**LifeLong
Learning
& Design**

University of Colorado at Boulder

Wisdom is not the product of schooling
but the lifelong attempt to acquire it.
- Albert Einstein

Models of Teaching and Learning: Participation in a Community of Learners (CoLs)

Gerhard Fischer, Hal Eden, Hiroaki Ogata, and Eric Scharff
DLC Course, Spring Semester 2002
March 13, 2002

source: Rogoff, B., Matsuov, E., & White, C. (1998) "Models of Teaching and Learning: Participation in a Community of Learners." In D. R. Olsen & N. Torrance (Eds.), *The Handbook of Education and Human Development — New Models of Learning, Teaching and Schooling*, Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 388-414

Aim of this Chapter

- distinguish theories of development that cast learning as
 - a community process of transformation of participation in socio-cultural activities
 - a one-sided process in which only teachers and learners are responsible for learning
- distinguish between
 - theoretical perspectives on learning
 - models of instruction that are aligned with these theoretical perspectives

theoretical perspectives	models of instruction	new media/technologies
transmission	adult-run	lecture, access to information
acquisition	children-run	simulations, programming, discovery learning, reinventing the wheel
transformation of participation	CoLs with varying responsibilities	integrated environments such as the EDC

Participation in a Community of Learners

- **“adult-run education”**: learning is a process managed by experts who transmit knowledge to learners
- **“children-run education”**: learning is the province of learners who acquire knowledge through their active exploration
- **“community of learners”**
 - active learners and more skilled partners provide leadership and guidance → asymmetry of roles
 - learning involves transformation of participation in collaborative endeavor (“legitimate peripheral participation (LPP)”); Lave and Wenger)
 - all participants are active: no one has all the responsibility and no one is passive → symmetry of ignorance
 - learner/teacher = $f\{\text{person}\}$ → learner/teacher = $f\{\text{context}\}$

Beyond Binary Choices

Choice1:	Choice2:	(Partial) Resolution
direct manipulation	programming	integrated in DODEs
tool-based assistance	agent-based assistant	integrated in DODEs (e.g., with critics)
adaptable	adaptive	malleable
generic	domain-oriented	layered architectures, substrates
human support	computational support	distributed problem solving
emulate	complement	collaborative human-computer systems
usable	useful	useful and usable
instructionism	constructionism	lifelong learning, discovery learning
training (skill/knowledge transfer)	solving new problems (knowledge construction)	lifelong learning
adult-run	children-run	community of learners
individual	social	Envisionment and Discovery Collaboratory

Beyond Binary Choices — Continued

Choice1:	Choice2:	(Partial) Resolution
use	design	low threshold and high ceiling
access	informed participation	incremental learning
physical	computational	ubiquitous computing
problem solving	problem framing	co-evolution
planning	situated action	meta-design
design, action, experience	argumentation, reflection	reflection-in-action
readers' interface	writers' interface	Dynasite, Swiki
browsing	search	use artifacts and work context for retrieval (access and /or delivery)

Adult-Run Model

- p 391: “in most classrooms the teacher stands at the front of the room, dispensing “inert ideas” to his passive students, as if they were so many empty vessels to be filled”
- p 392: “our schools are factories in which the raw products (children) are to be shaped and fashioned into products to meet the various demands of life”
- students learn
 - to solve problems → but **not** how to frame them
 - to produce “correct” answers → but do **not** have experience examining how to determine what is correct
 - to participate in tasks → but these tasks are **not** of their own personal interest
 - to be motivated by the teacher → but **not** how to develop their own interests

Child-Run Model

- the opposite one-sided approach
- children are active constructors of knowledge and adult involvement is seen as a potential impediment to learning
- curriculum debates: curriculum should build on the great resources of civilization, not on children's spontaneous impulses
- a different view of discovery learning → Hirsch, E. D. (1996) *The Schools We Need And Why We Don't Have Them*, Doubleday, New York.

Discovery Learning as understood by E.D. Hirsch

- The phrase refers to the teaching method which sets up projects or problems so that students can discover knowledge for themselves through hands-on experience and problem solving rather than through textbooks and lectures.
- Progressivists made discovery learning the chief or exclusive form of teaching starting with the “project method”.
 - The premise is true that knowledge acquired on one’s own, with difficulty and by expending lots of time and effort, is more likely to be retained than knowledge presented verbally.
 - It is also true that knowledge gained in a realistic context as part of an effort to solve a problem is likely to be knowledge that is well understood and integrated.
 - Unquestionably, then, discovery learning is an effective method—**when it works**.
- But there are two serious drawbacks to preponderant or exclusive reliance on discovery learning.
 - First, students do not always make on their own the discoveries they are supposed to make; in fact, they sometimes make “discoveries” that aren’t true. Hence, it is essential to monitor students to probe whether the desired learning goal has been achieved, and if not, to reach the goal by direct means.
 - Second, discovery learning has proved to be very inefficient. Not only do students sometimes fail to gain the knowledge and know-how they are supposed to gain, but they do not gain it very fast. Research into teaching methods has consistently shown that discovery learning is the least effective method of instruction in the teacher’s repertory.

The Discovery Learning Initiative (DLI) and the Discovery Learning Center (DLC)

- **DL-Initiative foci:**
 - **vertical integration** (undergraduate research apprentices, graduate students, post-docs, faculty, community members)
 - **horizontal integration** (collaborative design, shared understanding, overcome “symmetry of ignorance”)
 - **innovative** uses of new media and technology serving these goals
- **vertical integration: learning about → learning to be**
 - model: community feeling in sports
 - L3D’s “Undergraduate Research Apprenticeship Program (URAP)”
- **horizontal integration → Digital Bauhaus (Pelle Ehn):**
 - “to unite the ‘two sides’: the **hard (technology and natural sciences)** with the **soft (values, democracy, art and ethics)**. One remarkable such project was the Bauhaus. Today, in the digital age we can witness new more post-modern attempts to **meeting between 'art' and 'technology'.**”

Learning: Current Theories

- learning is a process of **knowledge construction**, not of knowledge recording or absorption → *constructionism*
- learning is **knowledge-dependent**; people use their existing knowledge to construct new knowledge → *user models, personalization*
- learning is highly **tuned to the situation** in which it takes place → *domain-orientation, human problem-domain communication*
- learning needs to account for **distributed cognition** requiring to combine knowledge in the head with knowledge in the world → *learning on demand, using on demand, “basic” skills*
- learning is affected as much by **motivational issues** as by cognitive issues → *low threshold, high-ceiling*


“Basic” Skills

- question: if most job-relevant knowledge must be learned on demand what is the role of “basic” education?
- what is the critical background knowledge which makes learning on demand feasible?
- question: do “basic skills” change their meaning under the influence of technology?
- examples of “basic” skills
 -
 -

Motivation

- **claims:**

- the chief impediments to learning are not cognitive but motivational
- people can learn many things if they *want* to
- motivation is a tricky problem in learning because while it plays a major role, it is not well understood



optimal flow as a motivating and driving force in learning

Community of Learners

- all participants are active: no one has all the responsibility and no one is passive
 - consumer → designer
 - access → informed participation (end-user authoring and end-user modification)
- more skilled partners (e.g., experienced teachers and coaches) provide leadership and guidance → asymmetry of roles
- learning involves transformation of participation in collaborative endeavor

Community of Learners in Schools — Some Results / Hypotheses

- in the community of learners model students appear to learn
 - how to coordinate
 - to become responsible and organized their management of their own learning
 - to build on their previous interests to learn
 - to sustain motivation to learn
 - to focus on their own improvement, rather than on comparison with others
 - they become skilled in self-evaluation
- in the adult-run models students appear to learn
 - how to manage individual performance (often measured against the performance of others)
 - to carry out tasks that are not of personal interest and may not make sense to them
 - to demonstrate their skills in basic test questions
 - to figure out criteria by which adults will judge their performance
- **a possible explanation:** “attempts to use the community of learners model in U.S. schools confront unique challenges because most teachers and parents have been “raised” in a one-sided model of teaching an learning (usually adult-run)”

Mismatch Problem

Teacher	Student	Example
authority (“sage on the stage”)	dependent, passive	lecture without questions, drill
motivator and facilitator	interested	lecture with questions, guided discussion
delegator	involved	group projects, seminar
coach/critic (“guide on the side”)	self-directed, discovery-oriented	self-directed study group, apprenticeship, dissertation

- **major mismatches :**

- dependent, passive learners take courses with non-directive teachers, and
- self-directed, discovery-oriented active learners take courses with directive, authoritarian teachers.

Learning— Illich’s “Deschooling Society”

Illich, I. (1971) *Deschooling Society*, Harper and Row, New York

- the pupil is thereby “schooled” to confuse teaching with learning, grade advancement with education, a diploma with competence, and fluency with the ability to say something new
- we need research on the **possible use of technologies** to create institutions which serve personal, creative and autonomous interaction and the emergence of values which cannot be substantially controlled by technocrats
- an illusion on which the school system rests: most learning is the result of teaching
- **educational webs** = heighten the opportunity for all people to transform each moment in their living into one of learning, sharing and caring

Technologies to Support Communities of Learners

WWW: From Broadcast to Collaboration Medium

